

Classification of bicovariant differential calculi on quantum groups (a representation-theoretic approach)

Pierre Baumann and Frédéric Schmitt

U.F.R. de mathématiques, Université Louis Pasteur,
7 rue René Descartes, F-67084 Strasbourg Cedex, France

Abstract

The restricted dual of a quantized enveloping algebra can be viewed as the algebra of functions on a quantum group. According to Woronowicz, there is a general notion of bicovariant differential calculus on such an algebra. We give a classification theorem of these calculi. The proof uses the notion (due to Reshetikhin and Semenov-Tian-Shansky) of factorizable quasi-triangular Hopf algebra and relies on results of Joseph and Letzter. On the way, we also give a new formula for Rosso's bilinear form.

Introduction

Let G be a semi-simple connected simply-connected complex Lie group, \mathfrak{g} its Lie algebra, $U_q\mathfrak{g}$ the quantized enveloping algebra of \mathfrak{g} . $U_q\mathfrak{g}$ is a Hopf algebra. The associated quantum group is an object of non-commutative geometry. According to a point of view due to Woronowicz and developed by Faddeev, Reshetikhin and Takhtadzhyan [F–R–T], one may view the restricted (Hopf) dual $(U_q\mathfrak{g})^{*\text{res}}$ as the function algebra \mathcal{A}_qG on this quantum group. In this way, the Peter–Weyl theorem becomes a definition: the rational representations of the quantum group are the finite dimensional representations of $U_q\mathfrak{g}$.

In order to study the differential geometry of quantum groups, Woronowicz [Wo] defined the notion of bicovariant differential calculus. As in the classical case, one needs only to define the differential of functions at the unity point of the quantum group. If $\varepsilon : \mathcal{A}_qG \rightarrow \mathbb{C}(q)$ is the augmentation map, this amounts to take the residual class of functions belonging to $\ker \varepsilon$ modulo a right ideal $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \ker \varepsilon$. In the classical case, one takes $\mathcal{R} = (\ker \varepsilon)^2$. As for quantum groups, it is more important to preserve the group structure than the infinitesimal structure, and one is led to select ideals \mathcal{R} as above by the requirement of a certain invariance condition. In this article, we solve the classification problem for these ideals \mathcal{R} , and we give a picture of what they look like.

We now compare our results with previous ones. Rosso [Ro3] showed how to use the quasi-triangular structure of $U_q\mathfrak{g}$ in order to construct left covariant differential calculi on the quantum group. Modifying this construction, Jurčo [Ju] used the R -matrix in the natural representation of $U_q\mathfrak{g}$ (and in the dual of it) so as to construct bicovariant differential calculi: he obtained particular cases (when M is the natural \mathfrak{g} -module or its dual) of our theorem2.

(In this spirit, see also [F–P].) As regards classification results, Schmüdgen and Schüler have classified the ideals \mathcal{R} as above, but only when \mathfrak{g} is of classical type, and under restrictive assumptions on \mathcal{R} . Most of the results in [S–S1, S–S2] are particular cases of our theorem 1. For instance, the classification given in the theorem 2.1 corresponds (in the wording of our theorem) to the ideals \mathcal{R} constructed (up to a twisting character $\chi : 2X/2Q \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$, as explained in the section 3.3) from the natural $U_q\mathfrak{g}$ -module or its dual.

Let us explain our proof and the contents of our article. Our proof relies on the quasi-triangular structure of $U_q\mathfrak{g}$. Since the formalism of R -matrices may be justified only for finite dimensional Hopf algebras, we will employ the dual notion of co-quasi-triangular (c.q.t.) Hopf algebra (see [L–T]): the algebra \mathcal{A}_qG is c.q.t.. We use then a bilinear form on \mathcal{A}_qG , introduced by Reshetikhin and Semenov-Tian-Shansky. As $U_q\mathfrak{g}$ is a factorizable quasi-triangular Hopf algebra (in the terminology of [R–S]), this pairing is non-degenerate and gives a linear injection $\mathcal{A}_qG \hookrightarrow U_q\mathfrak{g} \subseteq (\mathcal{A}_qG)^*$. The image of \mathcal{R} under this map is nearly the annihilator of a $U_q\mathfrak{g}$ -module. It is then easier to discuss what \mathcal{R} may be. The definitions and the proofs of these assertions are given in sections 1 and 2. In section 3, we present a construction of bicovariant differential calculi valid for any factorizable c.q.t. Hopf algebra. Finally we link, in the case of \mathcal{A}_qG , these constructions with our classification result.

Acknowledgements

Les deux auteurs remercient le Ministère Français de l’Enseignement Supérieur et de la Recherche pour son soutien financier (allocations de recherche). They also warmly and sincerely thank Professor M. Rosso for explaining the subject, giving bibliographic references, and supplying us with the leading idea.

Notations

- Let A be a k -algebra. If M is an A -module, its annihilator is noted $\text{ann}_A M$. If $m \in M$ and $m^* \in M^*$ (the k -dual of M), we denote by $\theta_M(m, m^*)$ the matrix coefficient ($A \rightarrow k, a \mapsto \langle m^*, a \cdot m \rangle$).
- For a Hopf algebra H , we will use Sweedler’s notation for coproduct ($\Delta(a) = \sum a_{(1)} \otimes a_{(2)}$) and for coaction on comodules. The sum sign will generally be omitted. We will denote the augmentation and the antipode of H by ε and S respectively.
- Let H be a Hopf algebra, and $H^{*\text{res}}$ be the restricted (Hopf) dual of H . A finite dimensional left H -module M (with a basis (m_i) and the dual basis (m_i^*) of M^*) can be viewed as a right $H^{*\text{res}}$ -comodule with structure map $\delta_R : (M \rightarrow M \otimes H^{*\text{res}}, m \mapsto \sum m_i \otimes \theta_M(m, m_i^*))$.

1 Co-quasi-triangular Hopf algebras

1.1 Some definitions

Let H be a Hopf algebra over a field k . A right crossed bimodule over H (in the sense of Yetter [Ye]) is a k -vector space M , which is also a right H -module, a right H -comodule (with structure map $\delta_R : (M \rightarrow M \otimes H, m \mapsto \sum m_{(0)} \otimes m_{(1)})$), both structures being compatible: $\delta_R(m \cdot a) = \sum m_{(0)} \cdot a_{(2)} \otimes S(a_{(1)})m_{(1)}a_{(3)}$ (for $m \in M, a \in H$). When M and N are right crossed bimodules over H , $M \otimes N$ becomes a right crossed bimodule for the action $(m \otimes n) \cdot a = m \cdot a_{(1)} \otimes n \cdot a_{(2)}$ and the coaction $\delta_R(m \otimes n) = (m_{(0)} \otimes n_{(0)}) \otimes m_{(1)}n_{(1)}$.

There are two easy examples: we can endow H with the structures: $a \cdot b = ab$ and $\delta_R : (H \rightarrow H \otimes H, a \mapsto a_{(2)} \otimes S(a_{(1)})a_{(3)})$. Alternatively, we can put on H the structures $a \cdot b = S(b_{(1)})ab_{(2)}$ (right adjoint action) and $\delta_R : (H \rightarrow H \otimes H, a \mapsto a_{(1)} \otimes a_{(2)})$.

When Γ is a bicovariant bimodule (see [Wo]), the space Γ^L of left coinvariants is a right crossed bimodule over H . Conversely, any right crossed bimodule over H is the space of left coinvariants of a bicovariant bimodule.

Finally (H still being a Hopf algebra), we endow the tensor product coalgebra $H^{*\text{res}} \otimes H$ with the product $(f \otimes a)(g \otimes b) = \langle g_{(3)}, a_{(3)} \rangle \langle g_{(1)}, S(a_{(1)}) \rangle (g_{(2)}f \otimes a_{(2)}b)$. We obtain a bialgebra, called Drinfel'd's double of H and denoted by $\mathcal{D}(H)$. (Here $H^{*\text{res}}$ is the standard dual of H , the coproduct is not brought into its opposite.) When M is a right crossed bimodule over H , it is a right $\mathcal{D}(H)$ -module for the actions: $m \cdot (f \otimes 1) = \langle f, m_{(1)} \rangle m_{(0)}$, $m \cdot (1 \otimes a) = m \cdot a$.

1.2 Definition of a co-quasi-triangular Hopf algebra

We give the definition of c.q.t. Hopf algebras, by now usual (see [L-T] for historical notes):

Definition 1 *A co quasi triangular Hopf algebra is a pair (\mathcal{A}, γ) where \mathcal{A} is Hopf algebra and $\gamma : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{*\text{res}}$ is a coalgebra morphism and algebra antihomomorphism such that we have the Yang-Baxter equation or rather the B-coaction relations $a_{(1)}b_{(1)}\langle \gamma a_{(2)}, b_{(2)} \rangle = \langle \gamma a_{(1)}, b_{(1)} \rangle b_{(2)}a_{(2)}$ for $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$*

That γ is a coalgebra morphism and an algebra antihomomorphism gives us that for all $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$, $\langle \gamma a, b \rangle = \langle \gamma Sa, Sb \rangle$. We call $\delta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^*$ the map such that $\langle \delta a, b \rangle = \langle \gamma b, Sa \rangle$, for all $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$. Hence we have $\langle \gamma a, b \rangle = \langle \delta b, Sa \rangle$. We verify easily that δ takes its values in $\mathcal{A}^{*\text{res}}$ and (\mathcal{A}, δ) is a c.q.t. Hopf algebra.

If U is a Hopf algebra quasi-triangular for an R -matrix R_{12} , then $U^{*\text{res}}$ becomes a c.q.t. Hopf algebra for the map γ given by: for $a, b \in U^{*\text{res}}$, $\langle \gamma(a), b \rangle = \langle b \otimes a, R_{12} \rangle$, and then $\langle \delta(a), b \rangle = \langle b \otimes a, R_{21}^{-1} \rangle$. This follows from Drinfel'd's classical axioms. For instance, let H be a finite dimensional Hopf algebra, and $U = \mathcal{D}(H)$: the dual vector space $H \otimes H^*$ of U is the underlying space of the restricted dual of U . If (e_i) is a basis for H , the canonical R -matrix is $\sum (e_i^* \otimes 1) \otimes (1 \otimes e_i) \in U \otimes U$. It corresponds to the maps $\gamma : (H \otimes H^* \rightarrow U, a \otimes f \mapsto \varepsilon(a)f \otimes 1)$ and $\delta : (H \otimes H^* \rightarrow U, b \otimes g \mapsto g(1)\varepsilon \otimes S^{-1}(b))$ (the antipode of a finite dimensional Hopf algebra being invertible).

The category of left modules over a quasi-triangular Hopf algebra is braided. The translation in the present formalism is the:

Proposition 1 *Let (\mathcal{A}, γ) be a c.q.t. Hopf algebra. If M is a right \mathcal{A} -comodule, it becomes a right crossed comodule over \mathcal{A} when endowed with the right comodule structure given by γ for $m \in M$ and $a \in \mathcal{A}$: $m \cdot a = \langle \gamma a, m_{(1)} \rangle m_{(0)}$. This structure is compatible with tensor products of comodules and crossed comodules.*

Proof Let $\delta_R : (M \rightarrow M \otimes \mathcal{A}, m \mapsto m_{(0)} \otimes m_{(1)})$ be the structure map for M . Then we have:

$$\begin{aligned} m_{(0)} \cdot a_{(2)} \otimes S(a_{(1)})m_{(1)}a_{(3)} &= m_{(0)} \otimes \langle \gamma a_{(2)}, m_{(1)} \rangle S(a_{(1)})m_{(2)}a_{(3)} \\ &= m_{(0)} \otimes S(a_{(1)})a_{(2)}m_{(1)}\langle \gamma a_{(3)}, m_{(2)} \rangle \\ &= m_{(0)} \otimes m_{(1)}\langle \gamma a, m_{(2)} \rangle \\ &= \delta_R(m \cdot a). \end{aligned}$$

The compatibility with tensor products is a consequence of γ being a coalgebra homomorphism. \square

We also note that the antipode of a c.q.t. Hopf algebra is always invertible, the square of its transpose being an inner automorphism of the algebra \mathcal{A}^* (see [Dr2]).

Finally, when (\mathcal{A}, γ) is a c.q.t. Hopf algebra, we have the maps γ and δ , and Radford [Ra] has shown that $(\text{im } \gamma)(\text{im } \delta) = (\text{im } \delta)(\text{im } \gamma)$ is a sub-Hopf-algebra of $\mathcal{A}^{*\text{res}}$. This was shown in the early [R-S]: there is a Hopf algebra structure (with invertible antipode) on the tensor product coalgebra $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}$ such that the map $(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{*\text{res}}, a \otimes b \mapsto \gamma b \cdot \delta a)$ is a coalgebra morphism and an algebra antimorphism.

Example In the F.R.T. construction [F-R-T], one considers matrices L^+ and L^- , whose elements lie in $\text{im } \gamma$ and $\text{im } \delta$ respectively. Then Faddeev, Reshetikhin and Takhtadzhyan defined $U_q\mathfrak{g}$ to be the algebra $(\text{im } \gamma)(\text{im } \delta)$.

1.3 The maps I and J

We fix in this subsection a c.q.t. Hopf algebra (\mathcal{A}, γ) over the field k , and note δ the associated map. We define two maps $I : (\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{*\text{res}}, a \mapsto \gamma(a_{(1)}) S\delta(a_{(2)}))$ and $J : (\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{*\text{res}}, a \mapsto S\delta(a_{(1)}) \gamma(a_{(2)}))$. Equivalently, we may consider the pairing of two elements $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$: $\langle I(a), b \rangle = \langle J(b), a \rangle$. (When \mathcal{A} is the dual of a quasi-triangular Hopf algebra, this pairing is $\langle a \otimes b, R_{21}R_{12} \rangle$.) We have $I = S \circ J \circ S$ and $J = S \circ I \circ S$.

We will now state an important property of the map I . $\mathcal{A}^{*\text{res}}$ is a left $\mathcal{A}^{*\text{res}} \otimes \mathcal{A}^{*\text{res}}$ -module for the law $(x \otimes y) \cdot z = xz S(y)$. \mathcal{A} is a right crossed bimodule over \mathcal{A} for the structures: $a \cdot b = ab$ and $\delta_R : (\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}, a \mapsto a_{(2)} \otimes S(a_{(1)})a_{(3)})$, so \mathcal{A} is a right $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A})$ -module. Let $\Pi : (\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}) \equiv \mathcal{A}^{*\text{res}} \otimes \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{*\text{res}} \otimes \mathcal{A}^{*\text{res}}, x \otimes b \mapsto \gamma(b_{(1)})x_{(1)} \otimes \delta(b_{(2)})x_{(2)})$.

Proposition 2 *In the set up above Π is non-degenerate. If $\xi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A})$ and $a \in \mathcal{A}$ then $I(a \cdot \xi) = \Pi(\xi) \cdot I(a)$.*

Proof That Π is an antimorphism is already in [R-S]. Then, as a consequence of the Yang-Baxter equation, we may write, for $x \in \mathcal{A}^{*\text{res}}$ and $a \in \mathcal{A}$, that $S\gamma(a_{(1)})\langle x, a_{(2)} \rangle =$

$\langle x_{(2)}, a_{(1)} \rangle x_{(1)} S\gamma(a_{(2)}) S(x_{(3)})$. Then we compute, for $\xi = x \otimes b \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A})$:

$$\begin{aligned}
I(a \cdot \xi) &= \langle x, S(a_{(1)})a_{(3)} \rangle I(a_{(2)}b) \\
&= \gamma(b_{(1)}) \langle x, S(a_{(1)})a_{(4)} \rangle \gamma(a_{(2)}) S\delta(a_{(3)}) S\delta(b_{(2)}) \\
&= \gamma(b_{(1)}) \langle x_{(1)}, S(a_{(1)}) \rangle S\gamma S(a_{(2)}) \langle x_{(2)}, a_{(4)} \rangle S\delta(a_{(3)}) S\delta(b_{(2)}) \\
&= \gamma(b_{(1)}) \langle x_{(2)}, S(a_{(2)}) \rangle x_{(1)} S\gamma S(a_{(1)}) S(x_{(3)}) \langle x_{(5)}, a_{(3)} \rangle x_{(4)} S\delta(a_{(4)}) S(x_{(6)}) S\delta(b_{(2)}) \\
&= \gamma(b_{(1)}) \langle x_{(2)}, S(a_{(2)}) \rangle x_{(1)} S\gamma S(a_{(1)}) \langle x_{(3)}, a_{(3)} \rangle S\delta(a_{(4)}) S(x_{(4)}) S\delta(b_{(2)}) \\
&= \gamma(b_{(1)}) x_{(1)} \gamma(a_{(1)}) S\delta(a_{(2)}) S(x_{(2)}) S\delta(b_{(2)}) \\
&= \Pi(\xi) \cdot I(a).
\end{aligned}$$

□

We single out the particular case $b = 1$:

Proposition 3 *Consider \mathcal{A} and $\mathcal{A}^{*\text{res}}$ as left $\mathcal{A}^{*\text{res}}$ -modules for the adjoint action if $x, y \in \mathcal{A}^{*\text{res}}$ and $a \in \mathcal{A}$. $x \cdot a = \langle x, S(a_{(1)})a_{(3)} \rangle a_{(2)}$ and $x \cdot y = x_{(1)}y S(x_{(2)})$. Then $I : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{*\text{res}}$ is an isomorphism of $\mathcal{A}^{*\text{res}}$ -modules.*

Finally, we give the definition, originally due to Reshetikhin and Semenov-Tian-Shansky [R-S]:

Definition 2 *One says that (\mathcal{A}, γ) is factorizable if the pairing $(\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow k, (a, b) \mapsto \langle I(a), b \rangle)$ is non-degenerate.*

Thus (\mathcal{A}, γ) is factorizable iff the maps I and J are injective. It is possible to show that (\mathcal{A}, γ) is factorizable iff (\mathcal{A}, δ) is so.

1.4 A related construction

First, let U be a Hopf algebra. It is a left U -module for the adjoint action: $x \cdot y = x_{(1)}y S(x_{(2)})$. We let $F_\ell(U)$ be the sum of all finite dimensional U -submodules of U . It is known [J-L1] that $F_\ell(U)$ is a subalgebra of U , a left coideal in U , and a U -submodule for the left adjoint action. The multiplication in U defines a morphism of left U -modules $F_\ell(U) \otimes F_\ell(U) \rightarrow F_\ell(U)$. We can then do the semi-direct product $F_\ell(U) \otimes U$: we obtain an algebra. $U \otimes U$ denoting the ordinary tensor product algebra, there is an algebra morphism $(F_\ell(U) \otimes U) \rightarrow U \otimes U, x \otimes y \mapsto xy_{(1)} \otimes y_{(2)}$. We can make the same constructions on the right: we obtain an algebra $F_r(U)$. If the antipode of U is invertible, the algebra morphism $(U \otimes F_r(U)) \rightarrow U \otimes U, x \otimes y \mapsto x_{(1)} \otimes x_{(2)}y$ has the same image as the previous one. Hence this image contains $F_\ell(U) \otimes F_r(U) \subseteq U \otimes U$.

We take now a c.q.t. Hopf algebra (\mathcal{A}, γ) , with δ, I and J as in the preceding subsection. Let $U = (\text{im } \gamma)(\text{im } \delta)$ be the minimal sub-Hopf-algebra of $\mathcal{A}^{*\text{res}}$ in which γ and δ take their values. We consider on \mathcal{A} and $\mathcal{A}^{*\text{res}}$ the $\mathcal{A}^{*\text{res}}$ -module structures of proposition 3. By restriction, \mathcal{A} and $\mathcal{A}^{*\text{res}}$ are U -modules, and $I : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{*\text{res}}$ is a morphism of U -modules. We can see that I takes its values in U , which is a U -submodule of $\mathcal{A}^{*\text{res}}$. Further, \mathcal{A} is the sum of its finite dimensional U -submodules, hence $\text{im } I \subseteq F_\ell(U)$.

Proposition 4 *Let (\mathcal{A}, γ) be a cofree cocommutative Hopf algebra and I be the associated map. Let U be the sub-Hopf algebra $(\text{im } \gamma)(\text{im } \delta) \subseteq \mathcal{A}^{*\text{res}}$ and suppose that $\text{im } I = F_\ell(U)$. Then I induces an injection between*

- *the set of right ideals \mathcal{R} of \mathcal{A} which are subcomodules for the right coaction $\delta_R : (\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}, a \mapsto a_{(2)} \otimes S(a_{(1)})a_{(3)})$*
- *the set of two-sided ideals \mathcal{I} of $F_\ell(U)$ which are U -submodules for the adjoint action*

This injection preserves dimensions, codimensions and the inclusion ordering in both sets.

Proof By assumption, $I : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow F_\ell(U)$ is a U -module isomorphism. We adopt the notations of the proposition 2. \mathcal{A} is a $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A})$ -module, and $U \otimes \mathcal{A}$ is (the underlying space of) a sub-Hopf-algebra of $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A})$, so we will view \mathcal{A} as a right $U \otimes \mathcal{A}$ -module: $1 \otimes \mathcal{A}$ acts on \mathcal{A} by right multiplication, $U^{\text{op}} \otimes 1$ acts on \mathcal{A} by the left adjoint action. The injectivity of I implies that $\text{im } J \subseteq U$ separates the points of \mathcal{A} : hence the sub- $U \otimes \mathcal{A}$ -modules of \mathcal{A} are the right ideals which are subcomodules for the right coaction δ_R .

On the other hand, we let E be the image of the morphism $(F_\ell(U) \otimes U \rightarrow U \otimes U, x \otimes y \mapsto xy_{(1)} \otimes y_{(2)})$. U is a $U \otimes U$ -module, so is an E -module, and $F_\ell(U)$ is a sub- E -module of U . E contains $F_\ell(U) \otimes F_r(U)$, with $S(F_r(U)) = F_\ell(U)$. Therefore, the sub- E -modules of $F_\ell(U)$ are the two-sided ideals \mathcal{I} which are U -submodules for the adjoint action.

Now the proposition is a consequence of the proposition 2: writing Π as the composition $(F_\ell(U) \otimes \mathcal{A}^{*\text{res}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{*\text{res}} \otimes \mathcal{A}^{*\text{res}}, x \otimes y \mapsto xy_{(1)} \otimes y_{(2)}) \circ (\mathcal{A}^{*\text{res}} \otimes \mathcal{A} \rightarrow F_\ell(U) \otimes \mathcal{A}^{*\text{res}}, x \otimes a \mapsto I(a_{(1)}) \otimes \delta(a_{(2)})x)$, and using the assumption $\text{im } I = F_\ell(U)$, we can see that E is the image of $U \otimes \mathcal{A}$ through Π . \square

2 The case of the quantum coordinate algebra

2.1 Notations

In this section, we study the preceding constructions in the case where \mathcal{A} is the algebra $\mathcal{A}_q\mathfrak{G}$ of regular functions on a quantum group.

Let \mathfrak{g} be a finite dimensional semi-simple split Lie algebra, \mathfrak{h} a splitting Cartan subalgebra, $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\ell\} \subseteq \mathfrak{h}^*$ a basis for the root system, $\{\alpha_1^\vee, \dots, \alpha_\ell^\vee\} \subseteq \mathfrak{h}$ the inverse roots, $P \subseteq \mathfrak{h}^*$ and $Q \subseteq \mathfrak{h}^*$ the weight and the root lattices. The choice of an invariant (under Weyl group action) scalar product $(\cdot | \cdot)$ allows us to identify \mathfrak{h} and \mathfrak{h}^* , with $\alpha_i = d_i \alpha_i^\vee$, $d_i = \frac{(\alpha_i | \alpha_i)}{2}$. We choose the normalization of $(\cdot | \cdot)$ so that $(\lambda | \mu) \in \mathbb{Z}$ whenever λ and μ belong to P . We denote by ρ half the sum of the positive roots, by P_+ the set of dominant weights, and by w_0 the longest element in the Weyl group.

We now choose the following version of $U_q\mathfrak{g}$: this is a $\mathbb{C}(q)$ -algebra (q is generic) generated by E_i, F_i and K_λ ($\lambda \in P$). The relations are the usual ones among which: $K_\lambda E_i = q^{(\lambda | \alpha_i)} E_i K_\lambda$, $K_\lambda F_i = q^{-(\lambda | \alpha_i)} F_i K_\lambda$, $E_i F_j - F_j E_i = \delta_{ij} \frac{K_{\alpha_i} - K_{-\alpha_i}}{q^{d_i} - q^{-d_i}}$. The coproduct is given by: $\Delta K_\lambda = K_\lambda \otimes K_\lambda$, $\Delta E_i = E_i \otimes 1 + K_{\alpha_i} \otimes E_i$, $\Delta F_i = 1 \otimes F_i + F_i \otimes K_{-\alpha_i}$. We note S the antipode of $U_q\mathfrak{g}$. If one chooses a dominant weight λ and a character $\chi : P/2Q \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$, one knows how to construct a simple finite dimensional $U_q\mathfrak{g}$ -modules, in which there is a highest weight

vector m_λ such that $K_\mu \cdot m_\lambda = \chi(\mu \bmod 2Q)q^{(\mu|\lambda)}m_\lambda$. We note $L_\chi(\lambda)$ such a $U_q\mathfrak{g}$ -module ; when χ is the trivial character, we simply write $L(\lambda)$, and then $L_\chi(\lambda) = L(\lambda) \otimes L_\chi(0)$.

The matrix coefficients of the representation $L(\lambda)$ span a linear subspace $C(\lambda)$ of the restricted dual of $U_q\mathfrak{g}$, and we let $\mathcal{A}_qG = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in P_+} C(\lambda)$. This is a Hopf subalgebra of $(U_q\mathfrak{g})^{*\text{res}}$. The elements of \mathcal{A}_qG separate the points of $U_q\mathfrak{g}$ [J–L1], so that there is an inclusion of $U_q\mathfrak{g}$ into the dual of \mathcal{A}_qG , actually into the restricted dual of \mathcal{A}_qG . We note S the antipode of \mathcal{A}_qG , which is the restriction to \mathcal{A}_qG of the transpose of the antipode of $U_q\mathfrak{g}$.

There is an R -matrix for $U_q\mathfrak{g}$ [Dr1, Ta, Ga]. We choose the R -matrix with the structure $\sum(\text{diagonal part})(\text{polynomial in } F) \otimes (\text{polynomial in } E)$. If a and b belong to \mathcal{A}_qG , the number $\langle R_{12}, b \otimes a \rangle \in \mathbb{C}(q)$ is well-defined (thanks to the weight graduation of $U_q\mathfrak{g}$ and of any finite dimensional $U_q\mathfrak{g}$ -module), and we can define $\gamma, \delta : \mathcal{A}_qG \rightarrow (\mathcal{A}_qG)^*$ such that $\langle R_{12}, b \otimes a \rangle = \langle \gamma(a), b \rangle = \langle \delta(b), S(a) \rangle$. (\mathcal{A}_qG, γ) and (\mathcal{A}_qG, δ) are c.q.t. Hopf algebras, $\text{im}(\gamma)$ and $\text{im}(\delta)$ are the sub-Hopf-algebras U^-U^0 and U^0U^+ of $U_q\mathfrak{g} \subseteq (\mathcal{A}_qG)^{*\text{res}}$ respectively, and $U_q\mathfrak{g}$ is the sub-Hopf-algebra $(\text{im } \gamma)(\text{im } \delta) = (\text{im } \delta)(\text{im } \gamma)$ of $(\mathcal{A}_qG)^{*\text{res}}$.

2.2 Factorizability of \mathcal{A}_qG

Let (\mathcal{A}_qG, γ) be the c.q.t. algebra presented above, and δ be the associated map. For all the section, we endow \mathcal{A}_qG and $U_q\mathfrak{g}$ with the left adjoint action of $U_q\mathfrak{g}$, as in the section 1.4: in particular, the map $I : \mathcal{A}_qG \rightarrow F_\ell(U_q\mathfrak{g})$ is a morphism of left $U_q\mathfrak{g}$ -modules. Joseph and Letzter [J–L1, J–L2] have studied the structure of $F_\ell(U_q\mathfrak{g})$, and we need the following results:

- If $\lambda \in P_+$, $K_{-2\lambda}$ generates a finite dimensional $U_q\mathfrak{g}$ -submodule of $U_q\mathfrak{g}$, and $F_\ell(U_q\mathfrak{g}) = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in P_+} (U_q\mathfrak{g} \cdot K_{-2\lambda})$.
- Each block $U_q\mathfrak{g} \cdot K_{-2\lambda}$ contains a unique one-dimensional $U_q\mathfrak{g}$ -submodule; it defines a unique (up to scalars) element z_λ of the center of $U_q\mathfrak{g}$.
- $F_\ell(U_q\mathfrak{g}) \subseteq (\mathcal{A}_qG)^*$ separates the points of \mathcal{A}_qG .

The next assertion has been stated in [R–S]:

Proposition 5 (\mathcal{A}_qG, γ) is factorizable c.q.t. Hopf algebra and $\text{im } I = F_\ell(U_q\mathfrak{g})$

Proof Let $\lambda \in P_+$, $L(\lambda)$ the standard $U_q\mathfrak{g}$ -module, m_λ a highest weight vector, $m_{w_0\lambda}$ a lowest weight vector, (m_i) a basis for $L(\lambda)$ composed of weight vectors, (m_i^*) the dual basis. We have:

- The matrix element $\theta_{L(\lambda)}(m_{w_0\lambda}, m_{w_0\lambda}^*)$ is the linear form on $U_q\mathfrak{g}$ given by (in the triangular decomposition $U^+ \otimes U^0 \otimes U^-$ of $U_q\mathfrak{g}$): $EK_\mu F \mapsto \varepsilon(E)q^{(w_0\lambda|\mu)}\varepsilon(F)$.
- On this element, γ takes the value $K_{w_0\lambda}$ and δ the value $K_{-w_0\lambda}$.
- The image by γ (respectively δ) of the matrix element $\theta_{L(\lambda)}(m_i, m_{w_0\lambda}^*)$ (respectively $\theta_{L(\lambda)}(m_{w_0\lambda}, m_i^*)$) is zero if $i \neq w_0\lambda$.

So we have:

I(

Proposition 7 *Let $c_{\lambda\mu}^\nu$ be the fusion coefficients for \mathfrak{g} . $L(\lambda) \otimes L(\mu) \simeq \bigoplus_\nu c_{\lambda\mu}^\nu L(\nu)$. Then $z_\lambda z_\mu = \sum_\nu c_{\lambda\mu}^\nu z_\nu$.*

Proof Let $\mu \in P_+$. We compute $J(\theta_{L(\mu)}(m_\mu, m_\mu^*)) = K_{2\mu}$ (with the help of the formulas $J = S \circ I \circ S$ and $S(\theta_{L(\mu)}(m_\mu, m_\mu^*)) = \theta_{L(-w_0\mu)}(m_{-\mu}, m_{-\mu}^*)$). Now let $\lambda \in P_+$ and let t be the quantum trace in $L(\lambda)$. Let Ψ be the Harish-Chandra morphism from the center of $U_q\mathfrak{g}$ to U^0 [Ro1]. We want to compute $\Psi(z_{-w_0\lambda})$ on $\mu + \rho$. (Evaluation on $\mu + \rho$ means the algebra homomorphism $(U^0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}(q), K_\lambda \mapsto q^{(\lambda|\mu+\rho)})$.) The result will be the image of $z_{-w_0\lambda}$ by the central character of $L(\mu)$. So it is $\langle I(t), \theta_{L(\mu)}(m_\mu, m_\mu^*) \rangle = \langle J\theta_{L(\mu)}(m_\mu, m_\mu^*), t \rangle = \langle K_{2\mu}, t \rangle = \text{Tr}_{L(\lambda)}(K_{2\mu}K_{2\rho}) = \text{Tr}_{L(\lambda)}(K_{2(\mu+\rho)})$. Thus $\Psi(z_{-w_0\lambda})$ equals the sum of $K_{2\nu}$ for ν in the set of weights (with multiplicities) of $L(\lambda)$. We then use the fact that Ψ is an injective algebra homomorphism. \square

We note \mathcal{R} the Grothendieck ring of the category of finite dimensional $U_q\mathfrak{g}$ -modules whose components are modules $L(\lambda)$, without any twisting character $\chi : P/2Q \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$. Let $Z(U_q\mathfrak{g})$ the center of $U_q\mathfrak{g}$, and $\mathbb{Z}[P]$ the group algebra of P (with standard \mathbb{Z} -basis denoted by $(e^\nu)_{\nu \in P}$). The map $(\mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_q\mathfrak{g}, [M] \mapsto \text{Tr}_M(K_{2\rho} -))$ is a ring homomorphism. If $a, b \in \mathcal{A}_q\mathfrak{g}$ are such that $I(a)$ belongs to the center of $U_q\mathfrak{g}$, then $I(ab) = I(a)I(b)$. As a consequence, the map $(\mathcal{R} \rightarrow Z(U_q\mathfrak{g}), [M] \mapsto I(\text{Tr}_M(K_{2\rho} -)))$ is a ring homomorphism. This shows again the statement in proposition 7, and we can paraphrase the above proof by saying that the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{R} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{A}_q\mathfrak{g} & \xrightarrow{I} & Z(U_q\mathfrak{g}) \\ \text{ch} \downarrow & & & & \downarrow \Psi \\ \mathbb{Z}[P] & \longrightarrow & & & U^0 \end{array}$$

Here $\text{ch} : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[P]$ is the ring homomorphism which maps a module to its formal character, and the bottom arrow is the map $(\mathbb{Z}[P] \rightarrow U^0, e^\nu \mapsto K_{2\nu})$.

2.3 A technical result on the representation ring

We have just introduced a Grothendieck ring \mathcal{R} : by the classical results of Lusztig and Rosso, \mathcal{R} is naturally isomorphic to the representation ring of \mathfrak{g} . The elements $[L(\lambda)]$ ($\lambda \in P_+$) form a \mathbb{Z} -basis of \mathcal{R} and a \mathbb{Q} -basis of $\mathcal{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$.

Proposition 8 *Let $\lambda \in P_+$. Then the ideal of $\mathcal{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ generated by the elements $[L(\lambda + \varpi)]$ $\varpi \in P_+$ is the whole $\mathcal{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$.*

The proof of this proposition can be skipped without any drawbacks.* Before we give it, we have to state an elementary lemma:

*Indeed the proof presented here is very inefficient. P. Polo showed us a much shorter and easier proof, which we reproduce with his permission as a note added in proof at the end of this article.

Lemma Let $(\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(k)}) \in (\mathbb{C}^\ell)^k$ be such that their images in $(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z})^\ell$ are different and let $(P^{(1)}, \dots, P^{(k)}) \in (\mathbb{C}[X_1, \dots, X_\ell])^k$. If $\sum_i P^{(i)}(n_1, \dots, n_\ell) \exp(2\pi i \sum_j n_j \mu_j^{(i)}) = 0$ holds for $(n_1, \dots, n_\ell) \in \mathbb{N}^\ell$ then the polynomials $P^{(1)}, \dots, P^{(k)}$ are equal to zero.

For $\ell = 1$, this lemma states linear independence of elementary solutions of a linear difference equation. The general proof is by induction on ℓ .

Proof of proposition 8 In this proof, we are in a classical context and we do not identify \mathfrak{h} and \mathfrak{h}^* . Let $R \subseteq \mathfrak{h}^*$ and $R^\vee \subseteq \mathfrak{h}$ be the direct and inverse root systems, $(\alpha \mapsto \alpha^\vee)$ the canonical bijection between R and R^\vee , $Q(R^\vee) \subseteq \mathfrak{h}$ the root lattice. $P = P(R) \subseteq \mathfrak{h}^*$ is still the weight lattice; we denote by $\{\alpha_1^\vee, \dots, \alpha_\ell^\vee\}$ the set of inverse simple roots, and by $\{\varpi_1, \dots, \varpi_\ell\}$ the set of fundamental weights. R^\vee and R define \mathbb{Q} -structures on \mathfrak{h} and \mathfrak{h}^* , and we can define $\mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{C}$. The Weyl group W operates on \mathfrak{h} and \mathfrak{h}^* , and the affine Weyl group $W_a = W \ltimes Q(R^\vee)$ operates on \mathfrak{h} . Let $\mathbb{Z}[P]$ be the \mathbb{Z} -algebra of the group P , $\mathbb{Z}[P]^W$ be the set of elements which are invariant under Weyl group action, $\text{ch} : (\mathcal{R} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Z}[P]^W)$ be the ring isomorphism ‘‘formal character’’. Finally, we denote by $\varepsilon(w) = \pm 1$ the determinant of an element w of the Weyl group.

For $\mu \in \mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{C}$, let $\text{ev}_\mu : (\mathbb{Z}[P] \rightarrow \mathbb{C})$ be the ring morphism which sends a basic element e^ν ($\nu \in P$) to $\exp(2\pi i \langle \mu, \nu \rangle)$, where \exp is the complex exponential. This extends to an algebra morphism $\text{ev}_\mu : (\mathbb{C}[P] \rightarrow \mathbb{C})$. If $\nu \in P_+$, let f_ν be the map $(\mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \mu \mapsto \text{ev}_\mu(\text{ch } L(\nu)))$. We first assert that given any $(x_1, \dots, x_\ell) \in \mathbb{C}^\ell$, there exists $\mu \in \mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{C}$ such that for all $i \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}$, $f_{\varpi_i}(\mu) = x_i$. We view $\mathbb{C}[P]$ as the coordinate ring of the affine variety $(\mathbb{C}^\times)^\ell$, and we view an element $\mu = \sum \mu_i \alpha_i^\vee$ ($\mu_i \in \mathbb{C}$) as the point $(e^{2\pi i \mu_1}, \dots, e^{2\pi i \mu_\ell}) \in (\mathbb{C}^\times)^\ell$. By the Nullstellensatz, it is sufficient to prove that the elements $(\text{ch } L(\varpi_i) - x_i e^0)$ ($i = 1, \dots, \ell$) generate a proper ideal in $\mathbb{C}[P]$. This is already true in $\mathbb{C}[P]^W$ by [Bo], ch. VI, § 3, Théorème 1. The case of $\mathbb{C}[P]$ is given by a standard trick: let $\natural : (\mathbb{C}[P] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[P]^W)$ be the projection onto the trivial homogeneous component in $\mathbb{C}[P]$ for the action of W ; \natural is a morphism of $\mathbb{C}[P]^W$ -modules, and thus a relation $\sum Q_i \cdot (\text{ch } L(\varpi_i) - x_i e^0) = 1$ in $\mathbb{C}[P]$ would give a relation $\sum Q_i^\natural \cdot (\text{ch } L(\varpi_i) - x_i e^0) = 1$ in $\mathbb{C}[P]^W$, which is impossible.

We now want to prove a formula for the character $f_\nu(\mu) = \text{ev}_\mu(\text{ch } L(\nu))$. We first remark that f_ν is invariant under the action of the affine Weyl group W_a in $\mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{C}$. If the real part $\text{Re}(\mu)$ of μ lies in an open alcove of $\mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{R}$, our formula will just be Weyl’s character formula:

$$f_\nu(\mu) = \frac{\sum_{w \in W} \varepsilon(w) \exp(2\pi i \langle w\mu, \nu + \rho \rangle)}{\sum_{w \in W} \varepsilon(w) \exp(2\pi i \langle w\mu, \rho \rangle)}.$$

Writing the denominator as a product over the positive roots:

$$\exp(2\pi i \langle \mu, \rho \rangle) \prod_{\alpha \in R, \alpha \geq 0} (1 - \exp(-2\pi i \langle \mu, \alpha \rangle)),$$

we can see that it is a non-zero complex number. In the general case, we let $T = \{\alpha \in R \mid \text{Re}(\langle \mu, \alpha \rangle) \in \mathbb{Z}\}$: this is a closed symmetric subset of R ([Bo], ch. VI, § 1, Définition 4), thus T is a root system in the vector space $V_1 \subseteq \mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{R}^*$ that it spans ([Bo], ch. VI, § 1, Proposition 23). The stabilizer of μ in W_a is generated by the reflections across the affine hyperplanes in which $\text{Re}(\mu)$ lies ([Bo], ch. V, § 3, Proposition 2), thus $W_1 := \{w \in W \mid \mu - w\mu \in Q(R^\vee)\}$ is precisely the subgroup generated by reflections along α^\vee ($\alpha \in T$), and its restriction

to V_1 is the Weyl group of T . Let σ be half the sum of the inverse positive roots of T : $\sigma = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in T, \alpha \geq 0} \alpha^\vee$. In restriction to V_1 , σ is the sum of the fundamental weights of the root system T^\vee of V_1^* . Let h be a small real parameter: $\operatorname{Re}(\mu) + h\sigma$ then lies in an open alcove of $\mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{R}$ and we can compute (with a small piece of abuse):

$$\begin{aligned} f_\nu(\mu) &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} f_\nu(\mu + h\sigma) \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\sum_{w \in W/W_1} \sum_{w_1 \in W_1} \varepsilon(w w_1) \exp(2\pi i \langle w\mu, \nu + \rho \rangle) \exp(2\pi i h \langle w_1 \sigma, w^{-1}(\nu + \rho) \rangle)}{\sum_{w \in W/W_1} \sum_{w_1 \in W_1} \varepsilon(w w_1) \exp(2\pi i \langle w\mu, \rho \rangle) \exp(2\pi i h \langle w_1 \sigma, w^{-1}\rho \rangle)}. \end{aligned}$$

In the sums, we fix $w \in W/W_1$ and compute the sums on w_1 : in the numerator for instance, we have an alternating sum of $\exp(2\pi i h \langle w_1 \sigma, w^{-1}(\nu + \rho) \rangle)$ where $w^{-1}(\nu + \rho) \in P(\mathbb{R})$ has to be projected on V_1 , as in [Bo], ch. VI, § 1, Proposition 28. The formula (valid in the group algebra of the weight lattice of T^\vee): $\sum_{w_1 \in W_1} \varepsilon(w_1) e^{w_1 \sigma} = e^\sigma \prod_{\alpha \in T, \alpha \geq 0} (1 - e^{-\alpha^\vee})$ then gives:

$$f_\nu(\mu) = \frac{\sum_{w \in W/W_1} \varepsilon(w) \exp(2\pi i \langle w\mu, \nu + \rho \rangle) \prod_{\alpha \in T, \alpha \geq 0} \langle \alpha^\vee, w^{-1}(\nu + \rho) \rangle}{\sum_{w \in W/W_1} \varepsilon(w) \exp(2\pi i \langle w\mu, \rho \rangle) \prod_{\alpha \in T, \alpha \geq 0} \langle \alpha^\vee, w^{-1}\rho \rangle}.$$

As $\nu + \rho$ and ρ are regular, neither of the products occurring here can be zero. (We will see soon that the denominator cannot be zero.)

We now prove that the ideal of $\mathcal{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}$ generated by the elements $[L(\lambda + \varpi)]$ ($\varpi \in P_+$) is the whole algebra $\mathcal{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}$. We consider again [Bo], ch. VI, § 3, Théorème 1: this time, the isomorphism $\varphi : \mathbb{C}[X_1, \dots, X_\ell] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[P]^\mathbb{W}$ is given by $\varphi(X_i) = \operatorname{ch} L(\varpi_i)$. Composing with the isomorphism $\operatorname{ch} : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[P]^\mathbb{W}$, we can see that $\mathcal{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}$ is a polynomial algebra over \mathbb{C} . We suppose by the way of contradiction that the elements $[L(\lambda + \varpi)]$ ($\varpi \in P_+$) all belong to some maximal ideal of $\mathcal{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}$. Then, by the Nullstellensatz, there exists a point $(x_1, \dots, x_\ell) \in \mathbb{C}^\ell$ such that for all $\varpi \in P_+$, $\varphi^{-1}(\operatorname{ch} L(\lambda + \varpi))(x_1, \dots, x_\ell) = 0$. We can find $\mu \in \mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{C}$ such that $f_{\varpi_i}(\mu) = x_i$ ($i = 1, \dots, \ell$): then $f_{\lambda + \varpi}(\mu) = 0$ for all $\varpi \in P_+$. We next use the formula:

$$f_{\lambda + \varpi}(\mu) \text{ (denominator)} = \sum_{w \in W/W_1} \varepsilon(w) \exp(2\pi i \langle w\mu, \lambda + \varpi + \rho \rangle) \prod_{\alpha \in T, \alpha \geq 0} \langle \alpha^\vee, w^{-1}(\lambda + \varpi + \rho) \rangle,$$

and write $\varpi = \sum n_i \varpi_i$, where $(n_i) \in \mathbb{N}^\ell$ are any integers. The $w\mu$ ($w \in W/W_1$) are all distinct modulo $\mathbb{Q}(\mathbb{R}^\vee)$, and the expressions $\prod_{\alpha \in T, \alpha \geq 0} \langle \alpha^\vee, w^{-1}(\lambda + \varpi + \rho) \rangle$ are non-zero polynomials in (n_1, \dots, n_ℓ) (they never vanish indeed). Then the above lemma states that the right-hand side cannot vanish for all $(n_i) \in \mathbb{N}^\ell$. This proves firstly that the denominator is not null, and secondly that $f_{\lambda + \sum n_i \varpi_i}(\mu)$ cannot vanish for all $(n_i) \in \mathbb{N}^\ell$. We have reached a contradiction.

To go down to the case of $\mathcal{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ is then easy: we have shown that we can express in $\mathcal{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}$ the unity as a finite sum $1 = \sum x_i [L(\tau_i)] [L(\nu_i)]$, where $\tau_i \in P_+$, $\nu_i \in \lambda + P_+$ and $x_i \in \mathbb{C}$. As the structure constants of $\mathcal{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}$ are integer-valued, this system, viewed as linear equations in (x_i) , has a solution in \mathbb{C} , so has a solution in \mathbb{Q} . \square

2.4 Classification of some ideals of $F_\ell(U_q\mathfrak{g})$

In order to achieve our classification of ideals $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_q\mathfrak{G}$ in the next section, we must study the ideals $\mathcal{I} \subseteq F_\ell(U_q\mathfrak{g})$ which are stable by the adjoint action of $U_q\mathfrak{g}$. The analysis requires the use of the subalgebra V of $U_q\mathfrak{g}$ generated by $F_\ell(U_q\mathfrak{g})$ and by the elements $K_{2\lambda}$ ($\lambda \in P_+$).

Joseph and Letzter [J–L1] have shown that V is the subalgebra generated by the elements $E_i, F_i K_{\alpha_i}$ and $K_{2\lambda}$ ($\lambda \in P$). As it is such a “big” subalgebra of $U_q\mathfrak{g}$, its representation theory is similar to that of $U_q\mathfrak{g}$. We will describe it in the next subsection, but in the following proof, we need to know that the annihilator of a finite dimensional V -module is homogeneous with respect to the Q -graduation of V .

Proposition 9 *The following two properties for a subspace $\mathcal{I} \subseteq F_\ell(U_q\mathfrak{g})$ are equivalent*

(1) \mathcal{I} is the annihilator in $F_\ell(U_q\mathfrak{g})$ of a finite dimensional V -module

(2) \mathcal{I} is a finite codimensional two-sided ideal of $F_\ell(U_q\mathfrak{g})$ and $U_q\mathfrak{g}$ is a module over $F_\ell(U_q\mathfrak{g})/\mathcal{I}$ for the left adjoint action

Proof We first show that (1) \Rightarrow (2). If M is a finite dimensional V -module, its annihilator in V is a finite codimensional two-sided ideal of V , and is homogeneous w.r.t. the Q -graduation of V . It is then easy to see that $\text{ann}_V M$ is a $U_q\mathfrak{g}$ -submodule of V for the left adjoint action. The annihilator $\mathcal{I} = (\text{ann}_V M) \cap F_\ell(U_q\mathfrak{g})$ of M in $F_\ell(U_q\mathfrak{g})$ thus satisfies the property (2).

Conversely, let $\mathcal{I} \subseteq F_\ell(U_q\mathfrak{g})$ satisfying the property (2). We consider the left regular $F_\ell(U_q\mathfrak{g})$ -module $M = F_\ell(U_q\mathfrak{g})/\mathcal{I}$. \mathcal{I} is its annihilator, so it is sufficient to show that M extends to a V -module. We thus want to show that the elements $K_{-2\lambda} \in F_\ell(U_q\mathfrak{g})$ ($\lambda \in P_+$) map to invertible operators in $\text{End}(M)$.

1. M is a finite dimensional algebra, and is also a left $U_q\mathfrak{g}$ -module (for the adjoint action). The multiplication in M defines a morphism of left $U_q\mathfrak{g}$ -modules: $M \otimes M \rightarrow M$. Thus the Q -graduation of M (defined by the structure of $U_q\mathfrak{g}$ -module) is an algebra grading.
2. We fix $\lambda \in P_+$. We can write $M = M_0 \oplus M_\infty$ (as $\mathbb{C}(q)$ -vector space) where $K_{-2\lambda}$ acts nilpotently on M_0 and invertibly on M_∞ (Fitting’s decomposition). M_0 and M_∞ are stable by the commutant of $K_{-2\lambda}$ in $\text{End}(M)$, so are right ideals of M . If $x \in F_\ell(U_q\mathfrak{g})$ is homogeneous w.r.t. the Q -graduation of $F_\ell(U_q\mathfrak{g})$, x commutes (up to a scalar) with $K_{-2\lambda}$, so M_0 and M_∞ are stable by left multiplication by x . Thus M_0 and M_∞ are also left ideals of M .
3. We now show that M_0 and M_∞ are $U_q\mathfrak{g}$ -submodules of M .
 - (a) Let $\{e_1, \dots, e_k\}$ be the set of central idempotents in M . The elements K_μ ($\mu \in P$) of $U_q\mathfrak{g}$ act on M (by the adjoint action) as algebra automorphisms, so permute the elements of the set $\{e_1, \dots, e_k\}$. Hence for each μ , there exists an integer $n \geq 1$ such that $K_{n\mu}$ fixes each e_i . Since M is, as a $U_q\mathfrak{g}$ -module, a direct sum of modules $L(\nu)$ (without any twisting character χ), and since q is generic, we conclude that e_1, \dots, e_k are fixed by the adjoint action of the elements K_μ .

- (b) Let e be a central idempotent in M . e is of weight zero. We consider the q -exponential $\exp_q(\operatorname{ad} E_i) = \sum_{n \geq 0} q^{-d_i n(n-1)/2} \frac{\operatorname{ad} E_i^n}{[n]_i!}$ ($i \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}$ fixed). Then $\exp_q(\operatorname{ad} E_i)$ is a well defined operator in M . The formula $\Delta(E_i^n) = \sum_{k=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_i q^{d_i(n-k)k} E_i^{n-k} K_{\alpha_i}^k \otimes E_i^k$ enables us to see that $\exp_q(\operatorname{ad} E_i)(e)$ is an idempotent which we write $e+x$. Then $2ex+x^2=x$, $x(1-2e)=x^2$, $x=x(1-2e)^2=x^3$. The weights of the \mathbb{Q} -homogeneous components of x belong to $\{n\alpha_i \mid n \geq 1\}$; so the weights of the \mathbb{Q} -homogeneous components of x^3 belong to $\{n\alpha_i \mid n \geq 3\}$, and the homogeneous component of x of weight α_i is null. We obtain that $(\operatorname{ad} E_i)(e) = 0$. Similarly, $(\operatorname{ad} F_i)(e) = 0$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}$.
- (c) M_0 and M_∞ are ideals in M generated by central idempotents e_0 and e_∞ respectively. (a) and (b) show that e_0 and e_∞ define the trivial $U_q\mathfrak{g}$ -module. Hence for $x \in M_0$ and $u \in U_q\mathfrak{g}$, $u \cdot x = u \cdot (xe_0) = (u_{(1)} \cdot x)(u_{(2)} \cdot e_0) = (u_{(1)} \cdot x)\varepsilon(u_{(2)})e_0 = (u \cdot x)e_0 \in M_0$. The same holds for M_∞ .

4. We first consider the case $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2$. We choose naturally $\lambda = \varpi$ the fundamental weight, and write $M_0 = \mathcal{L}_0/\mathcal{I}$ and $M_\infty = \mathcal{L}_\infty/\mathcal{I}$. The points 2 and 3 show that \mathcal{L}_0 and \mathcal{L}_∞ are two-sided ideals and left $U_q\mathfrak{g}$ -submodules of $F_\ell(U_q\mathfrak{g})$. By definition of the Fitting decomposition, there exists an integer $n \geq 0$ such that $K_{-2n\varpi} \in \mathcal{L}_\infty$. Hence for all integers $m \geq n$, we have $K_{-2m\varpi} \in \mathcal{L}_\infty$, and thus $z_{m\varpi} \in \mathcal{L}_\infty$. Let $n_0 \geq 0$ be the smallest integer such that for all $m \geq n_0$, $z_{m\varpi} \in \mathcal{L}_\infty$. The proposition 7 and the Clebsch–Gordan theorem show that if $n \geq 1$, $z_{(n+1)\varpi} + z_{(n-1)\varpi} = z_\varpi z_{n\varpi}$. Thus n_0 has to be equal to zero. So $1 = z_0 \in \mathcal{L}_\infty$, $M_\infty = M$, and $K_{-2\varpi}$ acts inversibly on M .
5. The general case is solved in the same way. We consider the decomposition of the point 2 and write $M_0 = \mathcal{L}_0/\mathcal{I}$ and $M_\infty = \mathcal{L}_\infty/\mathcal{I}$. \mathcal{L}_0 and \mathcal{L}_∞ are two-sided ideals and left $U_q\mathfrak{g}$ -submodules of $F_\ell(U_q\mathfrak{g})$, and there exists an integer $n \geq 0$ such that $K_{-2n\lambda} \in \mathcal{L}_\infty$. If $\varpi \in P_+$, then $K_{-2(n\lambda+\varpi)} \in \mathcal{L}_\infty$, and thus $z_{n\lambda+\varpi} \in \mathcal{L}_\infty$. Let φ be the \mathbb{Q} -algebra morphism $(\mathcal{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow Z(U_q\mathfrak{g}), [M] \mapsto I(\operatorname{Tr}_M(K_{2\rho} -)))$ considered at the end of section 2.2. Then $\varphi^{-1}(\mathcal{L}_\infty)$ is an ideal of $\mathcal{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$, which contains all the elements $[L(-w_0 n\lambda + \varpi)]$ ($\varpi \in P_+$). Thus $\varphi^{-1}(\mathcal{L}_\infty) = \mathcal{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ by the proposition 8, and so $1 = \varphi([L(0)]) \in \mathcal{L}_\infty$, $M_\infty = M$, and $K_{-2\lambda}$ acts inversibly on M .

□

Re rk This result is a particular case of the proposition 8.4.13 in [Jo]. Accordingly, its proof is shorter than the one of Joseph’s theorem, and does not require the knowledge of the inclusions between Verma modules, nor the use of Gel’fand–Kirillov dimensions.

2.5 Classification of some right ideals of $\mathcal{A}_q\mathbb{G}$

The notations $\mathcal{A}_q\mathbb{G}$, $U_q\mathfrak{g}$, V have the same meaning as in sections 2.1 and 2.4. The map $I : (\mathcal{A}_q\mathbb{G} \xrightarrow{\sim} F_\ell(U_q\mathfrak{g}))$ was introduced in section 1.3.

We now specify the structure of the finite dimensional V -modules: they are completely reducible; each $U_q\mathfrak{g}$ -module $L_\chi(\lambda)$ (with $\lambda \in P_+$, $\chi : P/2Q \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$) is (by restriction) a simple V -module; the V -modules $L_\chi(\lambda)$ and $L_\varphi(\mu)$ are isomorphic iff $\lambda = \mu$ and the characters χ ,

φ restrict to the same character $2P/2Q \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$. The simple finite dimensional V-modules will be denoted by $L_\chi(\lambda)$ with $\lambda \in P_+$ and $\chi : 2P/2Q \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ a character. We finally remark (see [J-L1]) that a simple finite dimensional V-module is still simple as a $F_\ell(U_q\mathfrak{g})$ -module. Consequently, if (M_i) is a finite family of non-isomorphic finite dimensional simple V-modules, the natural ring homomorphism $F_\ell(U_q\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \bigoplus \text{End } M_i$ is surjective.

Theorem 1 *Let \mathcal{R} be a finite codimensional right ideal of $\mathcal{A}_q\mathbb{G}$ which is surjective on $\mathcal{A}_q\mathbb{G}$ with respect to the right coaction $\delta_R : (\mathcal{A}_q\mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_q\mathbb{G} \otimes \mathcal{A}_q\mathbb{G}, a \mapsto a_{(2)} \otimes S(a_{(1)})a_{(3)})$. Then there exists a finite dimensional V-module M such that $\mathcal{R} = I^{-1}(\text{ann}_{F_\ell(U_q\mathfrak{g})} M)$*

If M is a finite dimensional V-module then $I^{-1}(\text{ann}_{F_\ell(U_q\mathfrak{g})} M)$ is a finite codimensional right ideal of $\mathcal{A}_q\mathbb{G}$ stable by the right coaction δ_R

If M and N are finite dimensional V-modules then $I^{-1}(\text{ann}_{F_\ell(U_q\mathfrak{g})} M) = I^{-1}(\text{ann}_{F_\ell(U_q\mathfrak{g})} N)$ if and only if M and N have the same irreducible components

$I^{-1}(\text{ann}_{F_\ell(U_q\mathfrak{g})} M)$ is included in the augmentation ideal of $\mathcal{A}_q\mathbb{G}$ if and only if M contains the trivial V-module

Proof (1) and (2) are consequences of the propositions 4 and 9. Let M and N be two finite dimensional V-modules having the same annihilator in $F_\ell(U_q\mathfrak{g})$. Then $\text{ann}_{F_\ell(U_q\mathfrak{g})} M = \text{ann}_{F_\ell(U_q\mathfrak{g})}(M \oplus N)$. Let M_1, \dots, M_k (respectively M_1, \dots, M_n) be the distinct irreducible components of M (respectively $M \oplus N$). Then we have:

$$F_\ell(U_q\mathfrak{g})/\text{ann}_{F_\ell(U_q\mathfrak{g})}(M) \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^k \text{End } M_i$$

and:

$$F_\ell(U_q\mathfrak{g})/\text{ann}_{F_\ell(U_q\mathfrak{g})}(M \oplus N) \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \text{End } M_i,$$

and so $k = n$: all the irreducible components of N appear in M . (3) follows. (4) can be proved in a similar way, using the fact that the augmentation ideal of $\mathcal{A}_q\mathbb{G}$ is the inverse image by I of the annihilator of the trivial V-module. \square

3 Differential calculi on quantum groups

3.1 Woronowicz's definition

Let \mathcal{A} be a Hopf algebra, Γ be a bicovariant bimodule and $d : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \Gamma$ be a linear map. We say that (Γ, d) is a bicovariant differential calculus on \mathcal{A} if d is a derivation, a morphism of two-sided comodules and if the image of d generates the left \mathcal{A} -module Γ . The dimension of the space Γ^L of left coinvariants will be supposed to be finite.

When (Γ, d) is a differential calculus over \mathcal{A} , we note d^L the map $(\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \Gamma^L, a \mapsto S(a_{(1)}) \cdot d(a_{(2)}))$. The subspace $\mathcal{R} = \ker d^L \cap \ker \varepsilon$ is a finite codimensional right ideal of \mathcal{A} , and a subcomodule for the right coadjoint coaction $\delta_R : (a \mapsto a_{(2)} \otimes S(a_{(1)})a_{(3)})$. As shown by Woronowicz, the subspace \mathcal{R} determines (up to isomorphism) the bicovariant differential calculus (Γ, d) : we call it the ideal associated to (Γ, d) .

Geometrically, \mathcal{A} must be viewed as the algebra of functions over a group G , Γ is the space of 1-forms on G , Γ^L is the space of left- G -invariant 1-forms on G , identified with the cotangent space at the unity point of G , and d^L maps a function on G to its differential at the unity point.

3.2 A construction of bicovariant differential calculi

Let \mathcal{A} be a c.q.t. Hopf algebra over the field k , and let γ, δ be the associated maps.

We take a finite dimensional right \mathcal{A} -comodule M . We note (m_i) a basis of M , (m_i^*) the dual basis, and R_{ij} the elements of \mathcal{A} such that $\delta_R(m_i) = \sum m_j \otimes R_{ji}$. Then $\Delta R_{ji} = \sum R_{jk} \otimes R_{ki}$ and $\varepsilon(R_{ji}) = \delta_{ji}$ (Kronecker's symbol). Also, M is a left \mathcal{A}^* -module, and the R_{ji} (viewed as linear forms on \mathcal{A}^*) are the matrix coefficients $\theta_M(m_i, m_j^*)$ of this module.

Since (\mathcal{A}, γ) is c.q.t., M becomes a right crossed bimodule over \mathcal{A} for the action $m_i \cdot a = \sum \langle \gamma(a), R_{ji} \rangle m_j$ (proposition 1). M^* is a right comodule over \mathcal{A} too, for the coaction $\delta_R(m_i^*) = \sum m_j^* \otimes S(R_{ij})$. Using the fact that (\mathcal{A}, δ) is a c.q.t. Hopf algebra, we may endow M^* with the structure of a right crossed bimodule over \mathcal{A} for the action $m_i^* \cdot a = \sum \langle \delta(a), S(R_{ij}) \rangle m_j^*$. Then, by making the tensor product, we obtain that $\text{End}(M) \simeq M \otimes M^*$ is a right crossed bimodule.

We denote by Γ the bicovariant bimodule associated to this right crossed bimodule $\text{End}(M)$. As a vector space, Γ is just the tensor product $\mathcal{A} \otimes M \otimes M^*$. On the basic elements, the structure maps are:

$$\begin{aligned} b \cdot (a \otimes m_i \otimes m_j^*) &= ba \otimes m_i \otimes m_j^* \\ (a \otimes m_i \otimes m_j^*) \cdot b &= \sum ab_{(1)} \otimes \langle \gamma(b_{(2)}, R_{ki}) \rangle m_k \otimes \langle \delta(b_{(3)}), S(R_{j\ell}) \rangle m_\ell^* \\ \delta_L(a \otimes m_i \otimes m_j^*) &= a_{(1)} \otimes a_{(2)} \otimes m_i \otimes m_j^* \\ \delta_R(a \otimes m_i \otimes m_j^*) &= \sum a_{(1)} \otimes m_k \otimes m_\ell^* \otimes a_{(2)} R_{ki} S(R_{j\ell}). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that the canonical element $X = \sum 1 \otimes m_i \otimes m_i^*$ of Γ is left and right coinvariant. The linear map $d : (\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \Gamma, a \mapsto X \cdot a - a \cdot X)$ is then a derivation and a morphism of two-sided comodules.

Theorem 2 *If (\mathcal{A}, γ) is a c.q.t. Hopf algebra and if M is a finite dimensional non trivial \mathcal{A} -comodule then the above construction gives a bicovariant differential calculus $d : (\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \Gamma \equiv \mathcal{A} \otimes \text{End}(M))$*

Its associated ideal is $\mathcal{R} = \Gamma^{-1}(\text{ann}_{\mathcal{A}^}(k \oplus M))$ where k is the trivial \mathcal{A}^* -comodule*

Proof We first compute for $a \in \mathcal{A}$:

$$\begin{aligned} d(a) &= \sum a_{(1)} \langle I(a_{(2)}), R_{k\ell} \rangle \otimes m_k \otimes m_\ell^* - a_{(1)} \langle a_{(2)}, \delta_{k\ell} \rangle \otimes m_k \otimes m_\ell^* \\ &= \sum a_{(1)} \langle I(a_{(2)}), R_{k\ell} - \delta_{k\ell} \rangle \otimes m_k \otimes m_\ell^* \end{aligned}$$

and so:

$$\begin{aligned} d^L(a) &= \sum \langle I(a - \varepsilon(a)), R_{k\ell} \rangle m_k \otimes m_\ell^* \\ &= \sum \langle J(R_{k\ell} - \delta_{k\ell}), a \rangle m_k \otimes m_\ell^*. \end{aligned}$$

The R_{ji} are the matrix coefficients $\theta_M(m_i, m_j^*)$ of the \mathcal{A}^* -module M , which is irreducible and non-trivial. Thus, by the Jacobson density theorem, the $(\dim M)^2 + 1$ elements $\{1, R_{ji}\}$ are linearly independent in \mathcal{A} . The $(\dim M)^2$ linear forms $\{J(R_{k\ell} - \delta_{k\ell})\}$ are then linearly independent in \mathcal{A}^* , and the formula for $d^L(a)$ shows that d^L maps \mathcal{A} onto $\Gamma^L = \text{End}(M)$. (1) is proved. The same formula shows that \mathcal{R} is the set of elements a in the augmentation ideal of \mathcal{A} such that $I(a)$ is orthogonal to all the matrix coefficients $R_{k\ell}$ of the \mathcal{A}^* -module M . Thus $\mathcal{R} = \ker \varepsilon \cap I^{-1}(\text{ann}_{\mathcal{A}^*} M) = I^{-1}(\text{ann}_{\mathcal{A}^*}(k \oplus M))$. We have shown (2). \square

If we consider now a finite family (M_i) of non-trivial non-isomorphic finite dimensional simple right \mathcal{A} -comodules, we can do the direct sum of such constructions. If (\mathcal{A}, γ) is factorizable, then the map $d : (\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \bigoplus (\mathcal{A} \otimes \text{End } M_i))$ is a bicovariant differential calculus. The associated ideal is $I^{-1}(\text{ann}_{\mathcal{A}^*}(k \oplus \bigoplus M_i))$.

3.3 The link with the classification theorem

all the details, but point out that this is the way followed by Schmüdgen and Schüler for the construction described in [S–S1], theorem 2.2.

As an example, we now describe explicitly the bicovariant differential calculus associated with the ideal $I^{-1}(\text{ann}_{F_\ell(U_q\mathfrak{g})}(\mathbb{C}(q) \oplus L_\chi(0)))$. Let $(P/Q)^\wedge$ be the group of characters $\zeta : P/Q \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$. If ζ is such a character, it extends to a one-dimensional representation $\bar{\zeta}$ of \mathcal{A}_qG by letting $\bar{\zeta}(\theta_{L(\lambda)}(m, m^*)) = \zeta(\lambda \bmod Q)\langle m^*, m \rangle$, and this gives an inclusion of the group $(P/Q)^\wedge$ into the center of $(\mathcal{A}_qG)^{\text{res}}$. Since $(\bar{\zeta} \otimes \text{id}) \circ \delta_R : \mathcal{A}_qG \rightarrow \mathbb{C}(q) \otimes \mathcal{A}_qG$ is given by $(x \mapsto \bar{\zeta}(x) \otimes 1)$, we can see that the kernel of $\bar{\zeta}$ is a one-codimensional two-sided ideal of \mathcal{A}_qG , stable by the right coaction δ_R . If ζ is non-trivial, the ideal $\mathcal{R} = \ker \varepsilon \cap \ker \bar{\zeta}$ defines a bicovariant differential calculus on \mathcal{A}_qG . Putting $\chi : (2P/2Q \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times, 2\lambda \bmod 2Q \mapsto \zeta(\lambda \bmod Q))$, we can check that $\mathcal{R} = I^{-1}(\text{ann}_{F_\ell(U_q\mathfrak{g})}(\mathbb{C}(q) \oplus L_\chi(0)))$. This construction gives all the one-dimensional differential calculi on \mathcal{A}_qG (generalizing the result of [S–S1], remark 4 after the theorem 2.2).

Finally, let X be an intermediate lattice between P and Q . The matrix coefficients of the irreducible representations of $U_q\mathfrak{g}$ whose highest weight belongs to X span a subalgebra $\mathcal{A}_qG_X \subseteq \mathcal{A}_qG$. These algebras \mathcal{A}_qG_X are factorizable c.q.t. Hopf algebras. For instance, \mathcal{A}_qG_Q is the algebra of functions on the quantum adjoint group, and $\mathcal{A}_qG \equiv \mathcal{A}_qG_P$ is the algebra of functions on the quantum simply-connected group. Our arguments in the section 2.5 show that the indecomposable bicovariant differential calculi on \mathcal{A}_qG_X are classified by ideals $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{A}_qG_X \cap I^{-1}(\text{ann}_{F_\ell(U_q\mathfrak{g})}(\mathbb{C}(q) \oplus L_\chi(\lambda)))$, where $\chi : 2X/2Q \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ is a character (extended arbitrarily to a character of the group $2P/2Q$). Thus the “twisted” bicovariant differential calculi are non-local, their appearance depending of the choice of X . The bicovariant differential calculi seem localized at the central elements of G_X , that is to say, at the fixed points of G_X under the adjoint action.

References

- [Bo] Bourbaki N.: Groupes et algèbres de Lie, chapitres 4, 5 et 6. Paris: Masson 1981
- [Ca] Caldero P.: Eléments ad-finis de certains groupes quantiques. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **316**, 327–329 (1993)
- [Dr1] Drinfel’d V. G.: Quantum groups. In: Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians Berkeley 1986, pp. 798–820. Providence: American Mathematical Society 1987
- [Dr2] Drinfel’d V. G.: On almost cocommutative Hopf algebras. Leningrad Math. J. **1**, 321–342 (1990)
- [F–P] Faddeev L. D., Pyatov P. N.: The differential calculus on quantum linear groups. In: Dobrushin R. L., Minlos R. A., Shubin M. A., Vershik A. M. (eds.) Contemporary Mathematical Physics (Berezin memorial volume). Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. series 2 **175**, pp. 35–47. Providence: American Mathematical Society 1996
- [F–R–T] Faddeev L. D., Reshetikhin N. Yu., Takhtadzhyan L. A.: Quantization of Lie groups and Lie algebras. Leningrad Math. J. **1**, 193–225 (1990)

- [Ga] Gaitsgory D.: Existence and uniqueness of the R -matrix in quantum groups. *J. Algebra* **176**, 653–666 (1995)
- [Jo] Joseph A.: Quantum groups and their primitive ideals (Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, 3. Folge, Band 29). Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag 1995
- [J–L1] Joseph A., Letzter G.: Local finiteness of the adjoint action for quantized enveloping algebras. *J. Algebra* **153**, 289–318 (1992)
- [J–L2] Joseph A., Letzter G.: Separation of variables for quantized enveloping algebras. *Amer. J. Math* **116**, 127–177 (1994)
- [Ju] Jurčo B.: Differential calculus on quantized simple Lie groups. *Lett. Math. Phys.* **22**, 177–186 (1991)
- [L–T] Larson R. G., Towber J.: Two dual classes of bialgebras related to the concepts of “quantum group” and “quantum Lie algebra”. *Comm. Algebra* **19**, 3295–3345 (1991)
- [Ra] Radford D. E.: Minimal quasi-triangular Hopf algebras. *J. Algebra* **157**, 285–315 (1993)
- [R–S] Reshetikhin N. Yu., Semenov-Tian-Shansky M. A.: Quantum R -matrices and factorization problems. *J. Geom. Phys.* **5**, 533–550 (1988)
- [Ro1] Rosso M.: Analogues de la forme de Killing et du théorème d’Harish-Chandra pour les groupes quantiques. *Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup.* **23**, 445–467 (1990)
- [Ro2] Rosso M.: Certaines formes bilinéaires sur les groupes quantiques et une conjecture de Schechtman et Varchenko. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* **314**, 5–8 (1992)
- [Ro3] Rosso M.: Algèbres enveloppantes quantifiées, groupes quantiques compacts de matrices et calcul différentiel non commutatif. *Duke Math. J.* **61**, 11–40 (1990)
- [S–S1] Schmüdgen K., Schüler A.: Classification of bicovariant differential calculi on quantum groups of type A, B, C and D. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **167**, 635–670 (1995)
- [S–S2] Schmüdgen K., Schüler A.: Classification of bicovariant differential calculi on quantum groups. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **170**, 315–335 (1995)
- [Ta] Tanisaki T.: Killing forms, Harish-Chandra isomorphisms, and universal R -matrices for quantum algebras. In: *Infinite analysis part B. Proceedings, Kyoto 1991*, pp. 941–961. Singapore: World Scientific Publishing 1992
- [Wo] Woronowicz S. L.: Differential calculus on compact matrix pseudogroups (quantum groups). *Commun. Math. Phys.* **122**, 125–170 (1989)
- [Ye] Yetter D. N.: Quantum groups and representations of monoidal categories. *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **108**, 261–290 (1990)

Note added in proof. P. Polo kindly communicated the following simple proof of Proposition 8. By the formal character isomorphism, $\mathcal{G} \simeq \mathbb{Z}[P]$. Let $\mathbb{Z}[P]^W \subseteq \mathbb{Z}[P]$ the subring of W -invariant elements. $\mathbb{Z}[P]$ is a module of finite type over the noetherian ring $\mathbb{Z}[P]^W$, hence one can choose a finite generating set $(e^{\nu_i})_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ from the family $(e^\nu)_{\nu \in P}$. Take a weight μ such that all $\mu + \nu_i$ are dominant. Let $\lambda \in P_+$. Then there exist some $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}[P]^W$ such that $e^{-\lambda-\mu} = \sum_i a_i e^{\nu_i}$, hence $1 = \sum_i a_i e^{\lambda+\mu+\nu_i}$. Multiplying this by e^ρ and making the alternating sum over the Weyl group, one obtains that

$$\text{ch } L(0) = \sum_i a_i \text{ch } L(\lambda + \mu + \nu_i).$$

This concludes the proof.

Thanks are also due to A. Joseph for some useful comments about this work.